UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

IN RE:)
CRIMINAL CASE OPERATIONS)
DUE TO COVID-19 RESPONSE)

ORDER

Congress passed and the President signed legislation authorizing the use of video and telephone conferencing for various criminal case events during the COVID-19 emergency. *See* The CARES Act, H.R. 748. The Judicial Conference of the United States has also found that emergency conditions due to the national emergency declared by the President have affected and will materially affect the functioning of the federal courts generally.

In light of the health concerns recognized by Federal, State and local officials, on March 30, 2020, I exercised my authority under Section 15002(b)(1) of the legislation to authorize the use of video and telephone conferencing for all events listed in that section. The authorization was to remain in effect for 90 days (unless terminated earlier). Pursuant to Section 15002(b)(3), on June 26, 2020, I reviewed the authorization to determine whether it should be extended and thereafter extended the authorization for another 90 days. I reviewed and then extended the authorization again on September 24, 2020, December 23, 2020, March 23, 2021, June 17, 2021, September 16, 2021, December 16, 2021, March 15, 2022, June 10, 2022, September 7, 2022, and December 5, 2022, each time for 90 days.

I have again reviewed the authorization pursuant to Section 15002(b)(3) to determine if it should be extended. The national emergency remains in effect until May 11, 2023, at which time it is set to expire. However, the Judicial Conference of the United States has found the functioning of the federal courts have been materially affected while the emergency conditions are in place. Accordingly, pursuant to The Cares Act, the March 30, 2020 Order authorizing video and

telephone conferencing is extended. This Order authorizes video conferencing (or telephone conferencing if video conferencing is not reasonably available) for all events listed in Section 15002(b)(1) of the legislation; specifically:

- a. Detention hearings under section 3142 of title 18, United States Code;
- b. Initial appearances under Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure;
- c. Preliminary hearings under Rule 5.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure;
- d. Waivers of indictment under Rule 7(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure;
- e. Arraignments under Rule 10 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure;
- f. Probation and supervised release revocation proceedings under Rule 32.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure;
- g. Pretrial release revocation proceedings under section 3148 of title 18, United States
 Code;
- h. Appearances under Rule 40 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure;
- Misdemeanor pleas and sentencings as described in Rule 43(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure; and
- j. Proceedings under chapter 403 of title 18, United States Code (commonly known as the "Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act"), except for contested transfer hearings and juvenile delinquency adjudication or trial proceedings.

Pursuant to Section 15002(b)(2), I further find that, under certain circumstances, felony pleas under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and felony sentencings under Rule 32 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure cannot be conducted in person in this district without seriously jeopardizing public health and safety. As a result, if a judge in an individual case finds, for specific reasons, that a felony plea or sentencing in that case cannot be further delayed without

serious harm to the interests of justice, the judge may use video conferencing, or teleconferencing

if video conferencing is not reasonably available, for the felony plea or sentencing in that case.

Judges may also use this authority for equivalent events in juvenile cases as described in Section

15002(b)(2)(B).

Pursuant to Section 15002(b)(4) of the legislation, no video conferencing or

teleconferencing authorized by this Order may be used without the prior consent of the defendant

or juvenile after consultation with counsel.

While the emergency conditions are slated to end on May 11, 2023, pursuant to Section

15002(a), this authorization may remain in effect until 30 days after the emergency condition

expires. Accordingly, pursuant to Section 15002(b)(3) of the legislation, this authorization will

remain in effect for 90 days, which means this Order expires on May 30, 2023. Prior to that date,

I will review this authorization and determine whether to extend it.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: March 1, 2023

/s/ Beth Phillips

BETH PHILLIPS, CHIEF JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

3