

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
WESTERN DIVISION**

**IN RE: SIMPLY ORANGE ORANGE
JUICE MARKETING AND SALES
PRACTICES LITIGATION**

This Document Relates To: ALL CASES

MDL No. 2361

Master Case No. 4:12-md-02361-FJG

SECOND AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER

Pending before the Court is the parties' Joint Motion for Extension of Time (Doc. No. 222), wherein the parties jointly request extensions of the deadlines in the current scheduling order (Doc. No. 202). The parties' motion is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART**. The Court grants a **30-day extension** of all discovery deadlines; in particular, the Court does not adopt the parties' recommendation regarding separate deadlines for close of fact discovery and close of expert discovery. Furthermore, the Court grants a 30-day extension of plaintiffs' expert report deadlines; however, the Court has not adopted all the proposed deadlines related to the filing of expert reports. The parties are cautioned to review the text of this Order closely.

The Court now enters the following Amended Phase I Scheduling Order:

The first phase of discovery shall conclude **August 29, 2016**. Phase I discovery will include discovery of all issues relating to plaintiffs' anticipated Motion for Class Certification under Rule 23, as well as issues related to all named plaintiffs. Phase II

discovery will involve discovery relating to alleged damages and completion of any other merits issues. To the extent that issues overlap, the Court directs the parties to undertake discovery within Phase I.

1. TIMELINESS OF SUBMISSIONS. The parties and counsel are advised that any filing or submission made after 5:00 p.m. will not be reviewed by the Court until the next business day.

2. PROTECTIVE ORDERS

Counsel are advised that protective orders shall be issued upon motion of counsel only. This motion shall be filed with the proposed protective order provided as an attachment to the document. The proposed protective order shall also be emailed to the courtroom deputy in Word format at rhonda.enss@mow.uscourts.gov . In the event that the parties are unsuccessful in reaching an agreement on a proposed protective order, the Court refers the parties to the Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth § 40.27 for a sample protective order.

3. INDICES

Counsel should note that the scheduling and trial order indices are provided for their convenience only. **All parties are directed to review the entire text of this order. The schedules fixed herein will not be extended except for good cause shown and upon further written order of the Court.**

I. SCHEDULING

INDEX

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. | Close of discovery | August 29, 2016 |
| 2. | Motion for Class Certification | September 23, 2016 |

Opposition	November 14¹, 2016
Reply	December 16, 2016
3. Asserting party's expert report(s)	July 8, 2016
Defending party's expert report(s)	August 5, 2016
Rebuttal report(s)	August 19, 2016
Challenges/Daubert motions	September 23, 2016

Pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 26(f), Fed. R. Civ. P., and upon consideration of the parties' proposals in the matter, the following time schedule is established.

1. **DISCOVERY**

Phase I discovery shall close as of **August 29, 2016**. **Close of discovery means that all discovery, including the taking of depositions, shall be completed not simply submitted on the date specified by this paragraph. Any last minute discovery submitted too late for the opposing side to timely discover may be stricken. Counsel should also note that the Court expects discovery to proceed in a timely manner. The filing of a dispositive motion does not preclude the parties from conducting discovery.**

a. The Court reserves the right to exercise control over the taking of depositions in any case. The Court may either limit the total number of depositions or place a time limitation on the taking of depositions in general. **Any proposed deposition lasting longer than seven hours requires prior approval by the Court. Proposing counsel shall file a motion explaining the justification for such deposition(s).**

b. **Any discovery motion must be filed before the close of discovery, and in sufficient time for the Court to rule the motion.** The Court will not

¹ The date proposed by the parties, November 11, 2016, is Veteran's Day, a federal holiday.

entertain any discovery motion absent full compliance with Local Rule 37.1. Any discovery motion filed without complying with Local Rule 37.1 will be denied.

c. In the event that a teleconference is needed, please email your request to my chambers at marylynn.shawver@mow.uscourts.gov. The request should include a typed description of the discovery dispute, using a 12 pitch font and not exceeding two pages in length. These teleconferences are intended to resolve one or two issues that do not require authoritative briefing. Where multiple and complex issues are involved, motion practice is appropriate. If you have questions regarding the appropriateness of your dispute for a teleconference, please ask my judicial assistant.

2. **MOTIONS FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION UNDER RULE 23**

All motions for class certification under Rule 23 shall be filed no later than **September 23, 2016**. Absent full compliance with Local Rule 7.0, these motions will be denied. Suggestions in opposition to class certification are due **November 14, 2016**. Reply suggestions are due **December 16, 2016**.

3. **EXPERT TESTIMONY.**

a. A party shall disclose to other parties the identity of any person who may present evidence at trial (or on issues related to class certification) under Rules 702, 703, or 705, Fed. R. Evid.

1. Standard discovery deadlines include submission of expert reports required by Rule 26(a)(2)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P., for all witnesses retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony or whose duties as the party's employee regularly involve giving expert testimony. Plaintiffs shall submit their expert report(s) no later than **July 8, 2016**, defendant no later than **August 5, 2016**, and rebuttal no later than **August**

19, 2016. These deadlines also apply to the disclosures of witnesses under Rule 26(a)(2)(C), Fed. R. Civ. P., for whom no reports are required.

2. Any Daubert motion or other challenge to a proposed expert under Rules 26(a)(2)(B) or 26(a)(2)(C) must be filed no later than **September 23, 2016.** Objections not raised by this deadline may not be raised for the first time at trial.

3. **No expert depositions or other expert discovery shall be taken without a Court order.** The party requesting further discovery shall file a motion specifying what additional discovery is needed, and stating in detail why additional discovery is necessary. This motion shall be filed no later than five days before the close of discovery. This request for additional discovery will not be automatically granted.

b. One of the purposes of the Rule 26(a)(2)(B) expert report is to set forth the substance of a direct examination. If properly done, the expert report should eliminate the need for deposing some experts. Consequently, detailed statements in the report are essential.

c. A party's expert witness will be permitted to testify at trial only in conformity with that witness's report unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

d. Any expert report or disclosure to be submitted under this section shall be filed with this Court on ECF by the deadlines provided in 3(a)(1).

e. If a witness is not required to provide a written report under Rule 26(a)(2)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P., the party naming that witness must prepare a disclosure indicating (1) the subject matter on which the witness is expected to present evidence under Federal Rule of Evidence 702, 703, or 705; and (2) a summary of the facts and opinions to which the witness is expected to testify. Rule 26(a)(2)(C), Fed. R. Civ. P.

These disclosures are due on the same dates as set forth in 3(a)(1). Daubert motions or other challenges to Rule 26(a)(2)(C) witnesses are due on the same dates as set forth in 3(a)(2).

4. **PHASE II SCHEDULING ORDER**

Within 30 days from the date of ruling on plaintiffs' motion for class certification under Rule 23, the parties shall submit to the Court a proposed scheduling order for discovery and scheduling of all remaining issues.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: June 20, 2016
Kansas City, Missouri

S/ FERNANDO J. GAITAN, JR.
Fernando J. Gaitan, Jr.
United States District Judge